

SYNEX: Journal of Mobility and Business Research

FIELD EDITOR EVALUATION FORM

Title of the Article			
Evaluation Criteria	Evaluation	Evaluation Criteria	Evaluation
Compatibility of subject title with the content	<input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> (Partially) (3 P.) <input type="checkbox"/> Yes (5 P.)	Contribution to the field	<input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Katkı sağlamaya aday (candidate to contribute) (10 P.) <input type="checkbox"/> Yes (20 P.)
Compatibility of abstract with the content	<input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> (Partially) (3 P.) <input type="checkbox"/> Yes (5 P.)	The suitability of the method used for the study	<input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> (Partially) (5 P.) <input type="checkbox"/> Yes (10 P.)
Scientific and academic quality	<input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Must be improved (10 P.) <input type="checkbox"/> Yes (20 P.)	The findings meet the research purpose/problem	<input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Must be improved (5 P.) <input type="checkbox"/> Yes (10 P.)
Revealing the research purpose and its problem	<input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Must be improved (5 P.) <input type="checkbox"/> Yes (10 P.)	Discussing the findings in the context of theoretical framework and literature	<input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Must be improved (5 P.) <input type="checkbox"/> Yes (10 P.)
Explaining the importance of the research and the gap it will fill in the literature	<input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Must be improved (5 P.) <input type="checkbox"/> Yes (10 P.)	Originality and appropriateness of results	<input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> (Partially) (5 P.) <input type="checkbox"/> Yes (10 P.)
Theoretical and conceptual framework	<input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Must be improved (5 P.) <input type="checkbox"/> Yes (10 P.)	Compliance of recommendations with research results	<input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Must be improved (5 P.) <input type="checkbox"/> Yes (10 P.)
Use of original and primary sources	<input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Must be improved (10 P.) <input type="checkbox"/> Yes (20 P.)	Are the citations in the article mentioned in the references compatible with each other?	<input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Must be improved (3 P.) <input type="checkbox"/> Yes (5 P.)
Use of contemporary sources and literature	<input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Must be improved (10 P.) <input type="checkbox"/> Yes (20 P.)	Language, grammar, clarity and style	<input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Must be improved (3 P.) <input type="checkbox"/> Yes (5 P.)
Appropriateness of terminology	<input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Must be improved (10 P.) <input type="checkbox"/> Yes (20 P.)	Total Points (Max 200 P.)	
Overall Assessment	<input type="checkbox"/> Article can't be sent to the referee (Reject). <input type="checkbox"/> Article can be sent to the referee (Accept).		
Please suggest 3 referees regarding the subject of the article	1. 2. 3.		
Associate Editor			
Title – Name and Surname:		Signature:	

Thank you for your contribution.