

SYNEX: Journal of Mobility and Business Research

FIELD EDITOR EVALUATION FORM

| Title of the Article | | | |
|--|--|--|--|
| Evaluation Criteria | Evaluation | Evaluation Criteria | Evaluation |
| Compatibility of subject title with the content | <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> (Partially) (3 P.) <input type="checkbox"/> Yes (5 P.) | Contribution to the field | <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Katki sağlamaya aday (candidate to contribute) (10 P.) <input type="checkbox"/> Yes (20 P.) |
| Compatibility of abstract with the content | <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> (Partially) (3 P.) <input type="checkbox"/> Yes (5 P.) | The suitability of the method used for the study | <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> (Partially) (5 P.) <input type="checkbox"/> Yes (10 P.) |
| Scientific and academic quality | <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Must be improved (10 P.) <input type="checkbox"/> Yes (20 P.) | The findings meet the research purpose/problem | <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Must be improved (5 P.) <input type="checkbox"/> Yes (10 P.) |
| Revealing the research purpose and its problem | <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Must be improved (5 P.) <input type="checkbox"/> Yes (10 P.) | Discussing the findings in the context of theoretical framework and literature | <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Must be improved (5 P.) <input type="checkbox"/> Yes (10 P.) |
| Explaining the importance of the research and the gap it will fill in the literature | <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Must be improved (5 P.) <input type="checkbox"/> Yes (10 P.) | Originality and appropriateness of results | <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> (Partially) (5 P.) <input type="checkbox"/> Yes (10 P.) |
| Theoretical and conceptual framework | <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Must be improved (5 P.) <input type="checkbox"/> Yes (10 P.) | Compliance of recommendations with research results | <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Must be improved (5 P.) <input type="checkbox"/> Yes (10 P.) |
| Use of original and primary sources | <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Must be improved (10 P.) <input type="checkbox"/> Yes (20 P.) | Are the citations in the article mentioned in the references compatible with each other? | <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Must be improved (3 P.) <input type="checkbox"/> Yes (5 P.) |
| Use of contemporary sources and literature | <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Must be improved (10 P.) <input type="checkbox"/> Yes (20 P.) | Language, grammar, clarity and style | <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Must be improved (3 P.) <input type="checkbox"/> Yes (5 P.) |
| Appropriateness of terminology | <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Must be improved (10 P.) <input type="checkbox"/> Yes (20 P.) | Total Points (Max 200 P.) | |
| Overall Assessment | <input type="checkbox"/> Article can't be sent to the referee (Reject). | | |
| | <input type="checkbox"/> Article can be sent to the referee (Accept). | | |
| Please suggest 3 referees regarding the subject of the article | 1. 2. 3. | | |
| Associate Editor | | | |
| Title – Name and Surname: | | Signature: | |

Thank you for your contribution.